

MYSORE APPROPRIATION (NO. 4.) BILL, 1956.

Motion to consider.

12 NOON.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Finance).—Sir, I beg to introduce† the Mysore Appropriation (No. 4) Bill of 1956.

Sir, I beg to move :†

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration.”

So far as this Bill is concerned, it is a very simple measure. It refers to the various transactions that have taken place at an earlier period. This is according to the recommendations of the Public Accounts Committee to regularise the accounts. I hope the House will pass this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses. The question is :

“That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Schedule. The question is :

“That the Schedule stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

The Schedule was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Title and Preamble. The question is :

“That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1, the Title and the Preamble added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

† Introduced and moved with the recommendation of the Rajpram ukh.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

Mr SPEAKER.—The question is.

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

†MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 5) BILL, 1956.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Finance).—Sir, I beg to introduce† the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956.

As I have already stated in reference to the other Bills, this is also only to regularise the transactions that have already taken place as required by the Public Accounts Committee recommendation. I move :†

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved:

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5.) Bill, 1956, be taken into consideration.”

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಡ್ಕೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುಪೇಕೆರೆ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಆದರೆ 4 ಕೋಟಿ 11 ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳವರೆಗೂ ಅಕೌಂಟೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ? ಸರ್ಕಾರ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರ್‌ನಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏಕೆ ನಿಧಾನವಾಯಿತು? ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹು ಭಾಗ ಭಾರ್ಜ್ ಐಟಿಮ್ಸ್. ಮುಂತೆ ಗ್ರಾನ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಗುವುದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ಒಟ್ಟೆ ಏಕೆ ಹೀಗೆ ನಿಧಾನ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು? ಈ ರೀತಿ ಬಡ್ಡೆಟ್ ಕಡಮೆಯಿದ್ದು 4 ಕೋಟಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಹೋದರೆ ಬಡ್ಡೆಟ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಧವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಯನ್ನು ವಿರೋಧಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ (ಟಿ.-ನರಸೀಪುರ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಎಕ್ಸಿಸ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಡಿಪ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಡಿಕ್ರೆಟ್ ಬಡ್ಡೆಟಿಂಗ್ ಎಂದು ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಉತ್ತಮಪಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ‘Heads of Departments must keep good control on the accounting staff’ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೇನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು. ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಸಾಕು.

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್.)

Accounts staff in each department should be improved. Action taken by Government towards that end has not been explained. Therefore, this is the proper time for the Government to show whether they could adopt that procedure and minimise such demands in future.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—I oppose the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956 with all vehemence at my command. The policies of the Government and the way in which they have been executed warrant us to oppose this Bill. In spite of repeated accusation against the Government, they are still following the red-tapism method in carrying on the administration of the State.

Regarding the Electrical Department I would like to say that though the department have sanctioned estimates to the tune of crores, they are very slow in executing them. Again, regarding the civil works, though National Highways are improved a bit, the roads that are under the P.W.D. and under District Board management are not properly maintained. When Government took over some roads from the District Boards, they made some discrimination, especially so in the case of Chitaldrug and they left over certain important roads. We are all aware that the finances of the District Boards are depleted by certain amendments which were passed by this House, so much so the District Boards were not in a position to maintain the roads in a proper and fit condition. I would therefore urge that the Government should take over some more important roads from the District Boards and maintain them in a proper and fit condition.

Sir, again and again complaints have been made that Government are very slow in settling pension claims. In spite of repeated demands and repeated reminders made on the floor of this House, I do not know why pension claims are not settled expeditiously. I oppose this Bill, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

†Introduced and moved with the recommendation of the Rajpramukh.

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill be taken into consideration.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clauses. The question is:

“That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Schedule. The question is:

“That Schedule stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

The Schedule was added to the Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, Title and Preamble. The question is:

“That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, I move:

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

“That the Mysore Appropriation (No. 5) Bill, 1956, be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

†MYSORE APPROPRIATION (No. 6) BILL, 1956.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Finance).—Sir, I beg to introduce † The Mysore Appropriation (No. 6) Bill, 1956. I beg to move † the same may be taken into consideration.

My previous remarks hold good in this case also. Since this is only a